

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE:	APPROVED BY
AHS-G2181	11/13/2023	RPC (Reimbursement Policy Committee)

Reimbursement Guideline Disclaimer: We have policies in place that reflect billing or claims payment processes unique to our health plans. Current billing and claims payment policies apply to all our products, unless otherwise noted. We will inform you of new policies or changes in policies through postings to the Reimbursement Policies webpage on emblemhealth.com. Further, we may announce additions and changes in our provider manual and/or provider newsletters which are available online and emailed to those with a current and accurate email address on file. The information presented in this policy is accurate and current as of the date of this publication.

The information provided in our policies is intended to serve only as a general reference resource for services described and is not intended to address every aspect of a reimbursement situation. Other factors affecting reimbursement may supplement, modify or, in some cases, supersede this policy. These factors may include, but are not limited to, legislative mandates, physician or other provider contracts, the member's benefit coverage documents and/or other reimbursement, and medical or drug policies. Finally, this policy may not be implemented the same way on the different electronic claims processing systems in use due to programming or other constraints; however, we strive to minimize these variations.

We follow coding edits that are based on industry sources, including, but not limited to, CPT® guidelines from the American Medical Association, specialty organizations, and CMS including NCCI and MUE. In coding scenarios where there appears to be conflicts between sources, we will apply the edits we determine are appropriate. We use industry-standard claims editing software products when making decisions about appropriate claim editing practices. Upon request, we will provide an explanation of how we handle specific coding issues. If appropriate coding/billing guidelines or current reimbursement policies are not followed, we may deny the claim and/or recoup claim payment.

[POLICY DESCRIPTION](#) | [INDICATIONS AND/OR LIMITATIONS OF COVERAGE](#) | [DEFINITIONS](#) | [SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND](#) | [GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS](#) | [APPLICABLE STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS](#) | [APPLICABLE CPT/HCPCS PROCEDURE CODES](#) | [EVIDENCE-BASED SCIENTIFIC REFERENCES](#) | [REVISION HISTORY](#)

Policy Description:

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the term used to describe the development of cancer in the colon or the rectum. Colon cancer and rectal cancer are often grouped together because the two diseases share similar characteristics and features.

Screening is key in detecting colorectal cancer early and has a major impact on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality rates. Screening for colorectal cancer occurs through a preventive visit with a healthcare provider who provides an individual risk assessment.

Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage:

- 1) For asymptomatic individuals 45 to 75 years of age, annual screening for colorectal cancer with a fecal immunochemical test (FIT) (preferred) **or** a guaiac fecal occult blood test (gFOBT) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA.**
- 2) The use of methylated Septin 9 (ColoVantage) or FIT-DNA (Cologuard™, Cologuard Plus™) for colorectal cancer screening **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** for average risk individuals aged 45-85 (excluding high risk individuals) once every three years.
- 3) For average risk, asymptomatic individuals over 75 years of age, colorectal cancer screening **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA.**

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of a patient's illness.

- 4) Colorectal cancer screening using **any** of the following techniques **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**:
- a) Screening for anal cytological abnormalities (anal pap smear).
 - b) Screening for anal HPV infection.
 - c) Screening using cell-free DNA techniques (e.g., Guardant Shield™).

Definitions:

Term	Definition
AA	Advanced adenoma
ACA	The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act
ACPM	American College of Preventive Medicine
ACS	American Cancer Society
APL	Advanced precancerous lesions
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CLIA '88	Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988
CMS	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
CRC	Colorectal Cancer
CT	Computerized tomography
CUC	Chronic ulcerative colitis
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FAP	Familial adenomatous polyposis
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FIT	Fecal immunochemical test
FIT-DNA	Fecal immunochemical test plus DNA test (multi-target)
gFOBT	Guaiaec fecal occult blood test
HNPCCC	Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer syndrome
IBD	Inflammatory bowel disease

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Term	Definition
IOM	Institute of Medicine
KRAS	KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase
MAP	MYH-associated polyposis
MDM	Methylated DNA markers
MSTF	U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer
mt-sDNA	Multi-target stool DNA
NRAS	NRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
USPSTF	U.S. Preventive Services Task Force

Scientific Background:

Colorectal cancer (CRC) describes cancer that develops in the colon or rectum. The etiology of colorectal cancer involves a combination of genetic and environmental risk factors. Approximately 75% of patients diagnosed with CRC have a negative family history for colorectal cancer. However, the lifetime risk of developing colorectal cancer increases when an individual has a first-degree relative who was diagnosed under 50 years of age, as well as with other positive family history factors such as two or more affected family members.¹

Colorectal cancer is a predominant cancer that accounts for 10% of cancer-related mortality in western countries¹ and is the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States.² For the year 2024, the American Cancer Society (ACS) estimated 106,590 new cases of colon cancer and 46,220 new cases of rectal cancer. Overall, the lifetime risk of developing colorectal cancer is about 1 in 23 (4.3%) for men and 1 in 25 (4.0%) for women.³

A colorectal cancer screen is typically performed after a risk factor assessment and during an annual wellness visit. Screening efforts focus on finding and removing adenomas and detecting early-stage colorectal cancer. Available screening modalities include CT colonography and stool-based testing.² During an annual checkup, providers review an individual's personal history and family history, perform a physical examination, and run a battery of tests.

The types and number of tests performed can vary widely. Several tests for CRC screening are available. These screening tests are designed to detect colorectal cancer and to look for any signs of adenomatous polyps. Stool-based tests detect hemoglobin in blood that comes from a lesion or DNA alterations suggestive of malignancy.⁴

A fecal immunochemical test (FIT) directly measures hemoglobin in the stool; a patient provides a sample and places it in a specimen collection kit, after which the sample is returned to the lab for processing within 24 hours of collection. FIT tests generate a quantitative result or a qualitative test result and require only one sample, rather than the three days of consecutive sample collection for guaiac-based fecal occult blood tests (gFOBT).⁴ Quantitative FIT tests—as compared to qualitative FIT tests—are more standardized, produce more consistent results, and have a higher PPV.⁴

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

According to the USPSTF, the FIT test has several advantages (one of which is patient convenience) that lead it to be preferred in usage as compared to gFOBT tests. The USPSTF notes that “the fecal immunochemical test (FIT), as a direct measure of human hemoglobin in stool has a number of advantages relative to conventional FOBT and is increasingly used relative to that test.”⁵ In addition to convenience of use, when compared with gFOBT screening, screening using FIT shows higher detection rates for CRC and advanced adenomas. FIT is also more sensitive than gFOBT for colon lesions.⁵ Higher sensitivity and higher screening participation rates for FIT contribute to its rate of clinical usage.

A guaiac-based fecal occult blood test is another stool-based test. gFOBT testing detects hemoglobin by turning guaiac reagent-impregnated paper blue through a peroxidase reaction. Hemoglobin identification is necessary to detect any bleeding that may come from a colon lesion. Testing involves a test “card” that is received from a physician’s office or through the mail. These test cards are used for three consecutive bowel movements to collect a sample on the card; the cards are mailed into the laboratory for analysis. Several randomized trials have shown that gFOBT screening is effective at reducing CRC mortality. Guidelines recommend providers and laboratories who provide gFOBT screening use only highly sensitive guaiac reagents. One highly sensitive agent is the Hemoccult SENSAs, with a reported sensitivity for CRC of 64 to 80 percent, whereas sensitivity for nonrehydrated Hemoccult II tested markedly lower at 25 to 38 percent. Two disadvantages of gFOBT screening should be noted: (1) the sensitivity of gFOBT for advanced adenomas is “substantially less than for CRC”⁴ and (2) the detection rate for colon lesions on the right side is lower than the detection rate for left-sided lesions.

A multi-target stool DNA test (FIT-DNA) is a composite test made up of a fecal immunochemical test (FIT) and a DNA test that analyzes DNA alterations. Multi-target stool DNA tests are known by a variety of acronyms: sDNA-FIT, MT-sDNA, or FIT-DNA. In the United States, the test is also sometimes listed by its proprietary name: Cologuard. FIT-DNA tests are comprised of molecular assays to test for DNA (*KRAS* mutations); a gene amplification technique to test for methylation markers that arise from colorectal neoplasia; and an immunochemical assay (FIT) to test for hemoglobin, which may be found in blood due to colorectal lesions. The FIT-DNA test procedure involves the patient collecting a stool sample in a specimen collection kit. The collection kit is mailed into the company for testing and should arrive within a 72-hour period after the stool was collected. As of 2022, there are currently no randomized trial results of multi-target sDNA screening for colorectal cancer but there are comparison studies of other screening strategies against multi-target sDNA.⁴

Proprietary Tests

Cologuard™

Cologuard™ by Exact Sciences Corporation is a test intended to screen adults of either sex, 45 years of age and older, who are at average risk for colorectal cancer.⁶ It is intended for the “qualitative detection of colorectal neoplasia associated DNA markers and for the presence of occult hemoglobin in human stool.” However, it is not a replacement for diagnostic colonoscopy or surveillance colonoscopy in high-risk individuals, “including patients with a personal history of colorectal cancer and adenomas; have had a positive result from another colorectal cancer screening method within the last 6 months; have been diagnosed with a condition associated with high risk for colorectal cancer such as IBD, chronic ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease; or have a family history of colorectal cancer, or certain hereditary syndromes.”⁶ The proprietors also state that “Positive Cologuard results should be referred to colonoscopy. A negative Cologuard test result does not guarantee absence of cancer or advanced adenoma. Following a negative result, patients should continue participating in a screening program at an interval and with a method appropriate for the individual patient” and that repeat testing has not been evaluated or established.⁶

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Imperiale, et al. (2014) investigated the screening performance of Cologuard (a noninvasive multi-target DNA test) as compared with a fecal immunochemical test (FIT). Of the 9,989 individuals enrolled in the study, colonoscopy results (as the reference standard) confirmed that 65 individuals (0.7%) had colorectal cancer and 757 (7.6%) had “advanced precancerous lesions.” The DNA multi-target stool test used in the study was comprised of a quantitative molecular assay (the assay analyzed *KRAS* mutation, aberrant *NDRG4* and *BMP3* methylation, and β -actin) and a hemoglobin immunoassay. Multi-target stool DNA testing evidenced specificity of 86.6% for individuals with nonadvanced or negative findings. The sensitivity for detecting advanced precancerous lesions with FIT was 42.4%. Specificity was 94.9% for FIT among participants with nonadvanced or negative findings ($P < 100$). According to the authors, “The sensitivity of the DNA test for the detection of both colorectal cancer (92.3%) and advanced precancerous lesions (42.4%) exceeded that of FIT by an absolute difference of nearly 20 percentage points. This difference may be attributed to the DNA marker and algorithm components of the test since the test performance of the hemoglobin immunoassay component of the DNA test was nearly identical to that of FIT.” In conclusion, the authors noted “the numbers of persons who would need to be screened to detect one cancer were 154 with colonoscopy, 166 with DNA testing, and 208 with FIT” and that “in asymptomatic persons at average risk for colorectal cancer, multi-target stool DNA testing detected significantly more cancers than did FIT but had more false positive results.”⁷

Colovantage®

Colovantage® by Clinical Genomics is a plasma-based test that is used to screen for colorectal cancer and to detect colorectal disease. The test detects circulating methylated DNA from the *SEPT9* gene which is a part of cytokinesis and cell control. The ColoVantage test has yet to be clinically validated as a screening test, but a few small studies are available on this type of test. Grützmann, et al. (2008) performed two case-control studies as a part of validation study on *Septin 9* DNA methylation in plasma for screening purposes. The authors used a PCR assay for analysis of *SEPT9*; The samples included 354 samples (252 CRC, 102 controls). A separate study validated the initial one with a blinded, independent study of 309 samples (126 CRC, 183 controls). The use of a *SEPT9* to classify the samples resulted in detection in 120/252 CRCs (48%) and 7/102 (7%) controls; the second case-study resulted in 73/126 CRCs detected (58%) and 18/183 control samples (10%) testing positive for *SEPT9*, validating the initial results. The rate of polyp detection (>1cm) was approximately 20%. According to the authors, “inclusion of an additional measurement replicate increased the sensitivity of the assay in the testing set to 72% while maintaining 90% specificity.”⁸

Shield™

From Guardant Health, Inc., the Shield™ blood-based colorectal cancer screening test is “intended to detect colorectal cancer derived alterations in cell-free DNA from blood.”⁹ As an LDT, Shield™ is “intended to be complementary to and not a replacement for current recommended CRC screening methods.”¹⁰ In the test brochure, Guardant includes the following precaution: “Based on data from clinical studies, Shield has limited detection (55%-65%) of Stage I colorectal cancer and does not detect 87% of precancerous lesions. One out of 10 patients with a negative Shield result may have a precancer that would have been detected by a screening colonoscopy. Shield demonstrated high detection of Stage II, III, and IV colorectal cancer.”⁹

Cologuard Plus™

Cologuard Plus™ is a next-generation multi-target stool DNA that uses novel methylation and protein markers designed to detect cancer and precancer.¹¹

The BLUE-C study assessed the performance of Cologuard Plus for detecting CRC and compared its performance to FIT. The results showed that Cologuard Plus met all study endpoints, achieving 94% sensitivity for CRC and 91% specificity for detecting non-advanced findings, with even higher specificity (96%) in individuals aged 45-54. The test significantly outperformed FIT in CRC sensitivity, treatable-stage CRC (stages I-III), high-grade dysplasia, and advanced precancerous lesions. This improved performance could reduce false positives, thus minimizing unnecessary follow-up colonoscopies.^{12,13}

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Analytical Validity

Burch, et al. (2007) reported on the accuracy of guaiac testing as compared to immunochemical fecal occult blood tests (FOBTs) for the detection of colorectal cancer in an average-risk screening population. Of the 59 studies evaluated for analytical validity, 33 evaluated guaiac FOBTs and 35 analyzed immunochemical FOBTs. The results showed sensitivities for the detection of all neoplasms ranged from 6.2% to 83.3% for guaiac tests. Specificity ranged from 98.0% to 98.4% for guaiac tests. Sensitivity ranged from 5.4% to 62.6% for immunochemical FOBTs while specificity ranged from 94.3%-98.5% for immunochemical FOBTs.¹⁴ Sensitivities were also higher for the detection of CRC and lower for adenomas in both the diagnostic cohort and diagnostic case-control studies for both guaiac and immunochemical FOBTs. Of the immunochemical FOBTs, the Immudia HemSp test was the most accurate, but there was “no clear evidence” to prefer either guaiac or immunochemical FOBTs (one over the other).¹⁴

Shapiro, et al. (2017) enrolled 1,006 asymptomatic individuals in a study. Participants were 50-75 years of age and had been recommended for a screening colonoscopy (based on colonoscopy screening recommendations). The performance of each test was analyzed, with colonoscopy results used as the reference standard. The InSure FIT test had the highest sensitivity for detecting advanced colorectal neoplasia at 26.3%. The OC FIT-CHEK had a 15.1% sensitivity value. The Hemoccult II SENSA had a test sensitivity value of 7.4%. Statistically, the InSure FIT was more sensitive than the other two tests. Specificity ranged in value from 96.8% to 98.6%. The authors concluded that some FITs were more sensitive than others, but that the results should be confirmed in larger populations.¹⁵

Kisiel, et al. (2022) analyzed the performance of a multi-target stool DNA (mt-sDNA) test that combines the detection of methylation DNA markers (MDMs), *KRAS* mutations and fecal hemoglobin. This verification study included 777 samples – 210 cases and 567 controls. The average age of participants in the study was 65.5 years. The results of the study showed a sensitivity of 95.2% for colorectal cancer (CRC) and a sensitivity of 57.2% for advanced precancerous lesions (APL). Specificity for CRC and advanced precancerous lesions was 89.8% (that is, no CRC or advanced precancerous lesions). A specificity of 92.4% for neoplasia was calculated. Through sub-group analyses, a sensitivity for early-stage CRC of 93.4% at stage I and 94.2% at stage II were determined.¹⁶

Clinical Utility and Validity

High-sensitivity gFOBTs and FIT tests have been involved in repeated randomized controlled trials for validity and have been shown to reduce colorectal cancer mortality.¹⁷

Faivre, et al. (2004) investigated whether a benefit to FOBTs could be ascertained within countries that already had a high performance in the diagnosis and management of colorectal cancer. There were 91,199 individuals ages 45-74 years old who participated in the study. Individuals were allocated to either FOBT screening or no screening. Participants were followed up on for over eleven years. The results of the study showed positivity rates of 2.1% initially and 1.4% on average in subsequent rounds of screening (six screenings were performed over eleven years). Overall CRC mortality was “significantly lower in the screening population compared with the control population (mortality ratio, 0.84; 95% confidence interval).” The authors concluded that “biannual screening by FOBTs could reduce CRC mortality.”¹⁸

Kim, et al. (2021) studied the usage of colonoscopy and FIT testing for CRC detection using FIT claims data along with colonoscopy data from the Korean National Health Insurance system over a period of eleven years. Over 61,221 patient records (of individuals newly diagnosed with colorectal cancer) comprised the data used for the study. Another 306,099 individuals who did not have colorectal cancer were used as a control group. Through multivariable logistic regression models, the authors found an association between colonoscopy and reduced subsequent colorectal cancer risk (adjusted odds ratio of 0.29). Between colonoscopy and distal CRC, there was an even stronger association than with proximal CRC (0.24 vs 0.47).

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

FIT tests were associated with a colorectal cancer risk odds ratio of 0.74. The authors concluded that FIT testing showed less risk reduction than colonoscopy. However, “as the frequency of cumulative FIT assessments increased, the association with CRC prevention became stronger.”¹⁹

Imperiale, et al. (2024) evaluated a next generation multitarget stool DNA test for CRC screening in asymptomatic adults aged 40 or older. Among 20,176 participants, the test demonstrated a sensitivity of 93.9% (95% CI, 87.1–97.7) for CRC and a specificity of 90.6% (95% CI, 90.1–91.0) for advanced neoplasia. Sensitivity for advanced precancerous lesions was 43.4% (95% CI, 41.3–45.6), while specificity for nonneoplastic findings or negative colonoscopy was 92.7% (95% CI, 92.2–93.1). Compared to the fecal immunochemical test (FIT), which had a sensitivity of 67.3% (95% CI, 57.1–76.5) for CRC and 23.3% (95% CI, 21.5–25.2) for advanced precancerous lesions, the DNA test showed significantly higher sensitivity ($P < 0.001$) but lower specificity for advanced neoplasia ($P < 0.001$). No adverse events were reported, suggesting that the DNA test offers improved detection of CRC and advanced precancerous lesions at the cost of slightly reduced specificity compared to FIT.¹³

Gagrat, et al. (2024) evaluated a new multi-target stool DNA (mt-sDNA) test panel, which combines advanced methylated DNA markers (MDMs) and hemoglobin, for detecting CRC and advanced precancerous lesions (APLs). Using archived and prospectively collected stool samples from multi-center, case-control studies, the test demonstrated high accuracy. In a verification study involving 210 cases (112 CRC, 98 APLs) and 567 controls, the test achieved a sensitivity of 95.2% for CRC and 57.2% for APLs, with specificities of 89.8% for detecting the absence of CRC/APLs and 92.4% for non-neoplastic samples. Sensitivity for CRC by stage was 93.4% for stage I and 94.2% for stage II, while APL sensitivity varied: 82.9% for high-grade dysplasia, 73.4% for villous lesions, 49.8% for tubular lesions, and 30.2% for sessile serrated lesions. These results highlight the high sensitivity and specificity of the next-generation mt-sDNA test panel, supporting its potential for further validation in prospective clinical studies.²⁰

Guidelines and Recommendations:

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)

The USPSTF provides recommendations regarding clinical preventive services such as screening and counseling. The task force is comprised of an independent panel of experts in primary care and prevention that further specialize in numerous fields. Recommendations are segmented primarily based on factors such as age, gender, and pregnancy status. The USPSTF assigns one of five letter grades to a recommendation (A, B, C, D, or I). The cost of a preventive service is not considered when grading a practice. Furthermore, the recommendations only apply to people who are asymptomatic for a given condition.^{21,22}

The below chart represents screening recommendations from the USPSTF for adults.

Topic	Date	Grade	Recommendation
Colorectal cancer screening: Adults 45-49 years old ²¹	May 2021	B	Recommends screening for colorectal cancer in adults aged 45 to 49 years.
Colorectal cancer screening: Adults 50-75 years old ²¹	May 2021	A	Recommends screening for colorectal cancer in all adults aged 50 to 75 years.
Colorectal cancer screening: Adults 76-85 years old ²¹	May 2021	C	Recommends offering screening selectively for colorectal cancer in adults aged 76 to 85 years. Evidence indicates that the benefit of such screening in this age group is small. Clinicians should consider the patient's overall health, prior screening history, and preferences.

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

The USPSTF provides frequency and efficacy information on available screening methods.^{17,21}

Screening method ^a	Frequency ^b	Evidence of efficacy	Other considerations
Stool-based tests			
High-sensitivity gFOBT	Every year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence from RCTs that gFOBT reduces colorectal cancer mortality • High-sensitivity versions (eg, Hemoccult SENSА) have superior test performance characteristics than older tests (eg, Hemoccult II), although there is still uncertainty about the precision of test sensitivity estimates. Given this uncertainty, it is unclear whether high-sensitivity gFOBT can detect as many cases of advanced adenomas and colorectal cancer as other stool-based tests 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harms from screening with gFOBT arise from colonoscopy to follow up abnormal gFOBT results • Requires dietary restrictions and three stool samples • Requires good adherence over multiple rounds of testing • Does not require bowel preparation, anesthesia, or transportation to and from the screening examination (test is performed at home)
FIT	Every year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence from 1 large cohort study that screening with FIT reduces colorectal cancer mortality • Certain types of FIT have improved accuracy compared with gFOBT and HSgFOBT (20 µg hemoglobin per gram of feces threshold was used in the CISNET modeling) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harms from screening with FIT arise from colonoscopy to follow up abnormal FIT results • Can be done with a single stool sample • Requires good adherence over multiple rounds of testing • Does not require bowel preparation, anesthesia or sedation, or transportation to and from the screening examination (test is performed at home)

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Screening method ^a	Frequency ^b	Evidence of efficacy	Other considerations
sDNA-FIT	Every 1 to 3 y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved sensitivity compared with FIT per 1-time application of screening test Specificity is lower than that of FIT, resulting in more false-positive results, more follow-up colonoscopies, and more associated adverse events per sDNA-FIT screening test compared with per FIT test Modeling suggests that screening every 3 y does not provide a favorable (ie, efficient) balance of benefits and harms compared with other stool-based screening options (ie, annual FIT or sDNA-FIT every 1 or 2 y) Insufficient evidence about appropriate longitudinal follow up of abnormal findings after a negative follow-up colonoscopy No direct evidence evaluating the effect of sDNA-FIT on colorectal cancer mortality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Harms from screening with sDNA-FIT arise from colonoscopy to follow up abnormal sDNA-FIT results Can be done with a single stool sample but involves collecting an entire bowel movement Requires good adherence over multiple rounds of testing Does not require bowel preparation, anesthesia or sedation, or transportation to and from the screening examination (test is performed at home)
Direct visualization tests			
Colonoscopy	Every 10 y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence from cohort studies that colonoscopy reduces colorectal cancer mortality Harms from colonoscopy include bleeding and perforation, which both increase with age 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Screening and diagnostic follow-up of positive results can be performed during the same examination Requires less frequent screening Requires bowel preparation, anesthesia or sedation, and transportation to and from the screening examination
CT colonography	Every 5 y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence available that CT colonography has reasonable accuracy to detect colorectal cancer and adenomas No direct evidence evaluating effect of CT colonography on colorectal cancer mortality Limited evidence about the potential benefits or harms of possible evaluation and treatment of incidental extracolonic findings, which are common. Extracolonic findings detected in 1.3% to 11.4% of exams; <3% required medical or surgical treatment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional harms from screening with CT colonography arise from colonoscopy to follow up abnormal CT colonography results Requires bowel preparation Does not require anesthesia or transportation to and from the screening examination

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Screening method ^a	Frequency ^b	Evidence of efficacy	Other considerations
Flexible sigmoidoscopy	Every 5 y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence from RCTs that flexible sigmoidoscopy reduces colorectal cancer mortality • Risk of bleeding and perforation but less than risk with colonoscopy • Modeling suggests that it provides fewer life-years gained alone than when combined with FIT or in comparison to other strategies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional harms may arise from colonoscopy to follow up abnormal flexible sigmoidoscopy results • Test availability has declined in the US but may be available in some communities where colonoscopy is less available
Flexible sigmoidoscopy with FIT	Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 y plus FIT every year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence from RCTs that flexible sigmoidoscopy + FIT reduces colorectal cancer mortality • Modeling suggests combination testing provides similar benefits to those of colonoscopy, with fewer complications • Risk of bleeding and perforation from flexible sigmoidoscopy but less than risk with colonoscopy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional potential harms from colonoscopy to follow up abnormal flexible sigmoidoscopy or FIT results • Flexible sigmoidoscopy availability has declined in the US but may be available in some communities where colonoscopy is less available • Screening with FIT requires good adherence over multiple rounds of testing

^a To achieve the benefits of screening, abnormal results from stool-based tests, CT colonography, and flexible sigmoidoscopy should be followed up with colonoscopy.

^b Applies to persons with negative findings (including hyperplastic polyps) and is not intended for persons in surveillance programs. Evidence of efficacy is not informative of screening frequency, with the exception of gFOBT and flexible sigmoidoscopy alone.

^c As stated by the manufacturer”

American Cancer Society (ACS)

For colorectal cancer (CRC), the ACS recommends screening people at average risk starting at age 45. The ACS notes two options for testing: a stool-based test or a visual exam of the colon and rectum. If the patient is in good health, the ACS recommends that regular screening should continue through age 75. From ages 76-85, the ACS writes that the decision to continue screening should be discussed between patient and provider and considers the patient’s preferences, overall health, and screening history. From age 85 onward, a patient should no longer receive colorectal cancer screening. If the patient chooses to be screened with a test other than a colonoscopy, any abnormal result must be followed up with a colonoscopy.²³

The ACS notes the following options for CRC screening using stool-based tests:

- “Highly sensitive fecal immunochemical test (FIT) every year
- Highly sensitive guaiac-based fecal occult blood test (gFOBT) every year
- Multi-targeted stool DNA test with fecal immunochemical testing (MT-sDNA or sDNA-FIT or FIT-DNA)) every 3 years.”²³

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

For visual (structural) examination, the ACS notes the following options:

- “Colonoscopy every 10 years
- CT colonography (virtual colonoscopy) every 5 years
- Sigmoidoscopy every 5 years.”²³

The American College of Gastroenterology (ACG)

The ACG developed both guidance and a modified Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation methodology to evaluate the quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. They used “we recommend” for strong recommendations and “we suggest” for conditional recommendations. The following are CRC screening recommendations:

1. “We recommend CRC screening in average-risk individuals between ages 50 and 75 years to reduce incidence of advanced adenoma, CRC, and mortality from CRC. Strong recommendation; moderate-quality evidence
2. We suggest CRC screening in average-risk individuals between ages 45 and 49 years to reduce incidence of advanced adenoma, CRC, and mortality from CRC. Conditional recommendation; very low-quality evidence
3. We suggest that a decision to continue screening beyond age 75 years be individualized. Conditional recommendation; very low-quality evidence
4. We recommend colonoscopy and FIT as the primary screening modalities for CRC screening. Strong recommendation; low-quality evidence
5. We suggest consideration of the following screening tests for individuals unable or unwilling to undergo colonoscopy or FIT: flexible sigmoidoscopy, multitarget stool DNA test, CT colonography or colon capsule. Conditional recommendation; very low-quality evidence
6. We suggest against Septin 9 for CRC screening. Conditional recommendation, very low-quality of evidence
7. We recommend that the following intervals should be followed for screening modalities: FIT every 1 year, Colonoscopy every 10 years. Strong recommendation; low-quality evidence
8. We suggest that the following intervals should be followed for screening modalities: Multitarget stool DNA test every 3 years, Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5–10 years, CTC every 5 years, CC every 5 years. Conditional recommendation; very low-quality evidence
9. We suggest initiating CRC screening with a colonoscopy at age 40 or 10 years before the youngest affected relative, whichever is earlier, for individuals with CRC or advanced polyp in 1 first degree relative (FDR) at age <60 years or CRC or advanced polyp in ≥2 FDR at any age. We suggest interval colonoscopy every 5 years. Conditional recommendation; very low-quality evidence
10. We suggest consideration of genetic evaluation with higher familial CRC burden (higher number and/or younger age of affected relatives). Conditional recommendation; very low-quality evidence
11. We suggest initiating CRC screening at age 40 or 10 years before the youngest affected relative and then resuming average-risk screening recommendations for individuals with CRC or advanced polyp in 1 FDR at age ≥60 years. Conditional recommendation; very low-quality evidence

In individuals with 1 second-degree relative (SDR) with CRC or advanced polyp, we suggest following average-risk CRC screening recommendations. Conditional recommendation; low-quality evidence.”²

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer -- American College of Gastroenterology, American Gastroenterological Association, and the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

In 2022, Task Force on Colorectal Cancer published an update to their recommendations. The update focused on addressing the age of beginning CRC screening in average-risk individuals as well as the age of stopping CRC screening. The guideline recommends that screening begin at age 45 because there is “increasing disease burden among individuals under age 50, emerging data that the prevalence of advanced colorectal neoplasia in individuals ages 45 to 49 approaches rates in individuals 50 to 59, and modeling studies demonstrate the benefits of screening outweigh the potential harms and costs. For individuals ages 76 to 85, the decision to start or continue screening should be individualized and based on prior screening history, life expectancy, CRC risk, and personal preference. Screening is not recommended after age 85.”²⁴

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

The NCCN states the following recommendations for colorectal cancer screening:

“CRC screening is recommended in adults aged 45–75 years who might have a life expectancy of ≥ 10 years. The decision to screen between ages 76–85 years should be individualized and include a discussion of the risks and benefits based on comorbidity status and estimated life expectancy.”²⁵

“All patients, regardless of age, who present with symptoms associated with CRC, including but not limited to rectal bleeding, iron deficiency anemia, diarrhea, abdominal pain, or weight loss should undergo a prompt tailored evaluation for both gastrointestinal (GI) and non-GI causes. In most unexplained cases, an anorectal exam and colonoscopy should be considered. Patients with rectal bleeding initially attributed to hemorrhoids that does not resolve with treatment should be considered for colonoscopy.”²⁵

“If the colonoscopy is negative after a FIT or mt-sDNA, no symptoms are present, and the colonoscopy was a high-quality examination, patients can return to average-risk screening intervals beginning at 10 years after the colonoscopy. This interval could be modified based on the presence of symptoms or signs or additional CRC risk factors such as family history.”²⁵

Applicable State and Federal Regulations:

DISCLAIMER: If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy for a particular member [e.g., Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare and/or state coverage for Medicaid], then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit the Medicare search website: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, visit the applicable state Medicaid website.

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

The FDA approved the Epi proColon by Epigenomics AG on April 12, 2016.

“The Epi proColon test is a qualitative in vitro diagnostic test for the detection of methylated Septin 9 DNA in EDTA plasma derived from patient whole blood specimens. Methylation of the target DNA sequence in the promoter region of the SEPT9_v2 transcript has been associated with the occurrence of colorectal cancer (CRC). The test uses a real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with a fluorescent hydrolysis probe for the methylation specific detection of the Septin 9 DNA target. The Epi proColon test is indicated to screen adults of either sex, 50 years or older, defined as average risk for CRC, who have been offered and have a history of not completing CRC screening. Tests that are available and recommended in the USPSTF 2008 CRC screening guidelines should be offered and declined prior to offering the Epi proColon test. Patients with a positive Epi proColon test result should be referred for diagnostic colonoscopy. The Epi proColon test results should be used in combination with physician's assessment and individual risk factors in guiding patient management.”²⁶

The FDA approved Cologuard™ by Exact Sciences Corporation on August 11, 2014.

“Cologuard is intended for the qualitative detection of colorectal neoplasia associated DNA markers and for the presence of occult hemoglobin in human stool. A positive result may indicate the presence of colorectal cancer (CRC) or advanced adenoma (AA) and should be followed by diagnostic colonoscopy. Cologuard is indicated to screen adults of either sex, 50 years or older, who are at typical average-risk for CRC. Cologuard is not a replacement for diagnostic colonoscopy or surveillance colonoscopy in high risk individuals.”²⁷

The FDA also lists contraindications for Cologuard, noting that certain populations were not clinically evaluated for Cologuard use. These populations include:

- “Patients with a history of colorectal cancer, adenomas, or other related cancers.
- Patients who have had a positive result from another colorectal cancer screening method within the last 6 months.
- Patients who have been diagnosed with a condition that is associated with high risk for colorectal cancer. These include but are not limited to:
 - Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD)
 - Chronic ulcerative colitis (CUC)
 - Crohn's disease
 - Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP)
 - Family history of colorectal cancer
- Patients who have been diagnosed with a relevant familial (hereditary) cancer syndrome, such as Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer syndrome (HNPCCC or Lynch Syndrome), Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome, MYH-Associated Polyposis (MAP), Gardner's syndrome, Turcot's (or Crail's) syndrome, Cowden's syndrome, Juvenile Polyposis, Cronkhite-Canada syndrome, Neurofibromatosis, or Familial Hyperplastic Polyposis.”²⁷

The FDA approved Cologuard Plus™ by Exact Sciences Corporation on October 3, 2024.

“The Cologuard Plus™ test is a qualitative in vitro diagnostic test intended for the detection of colorectal neoplasia-associated DNA markers and for the presence of occult hemoglobin in human stool. The Cologuard Plus test is performed on samples collected using the Cologuard Plus Collection Kit. A positive result may indicate the presence of colorectal cancer (CRC) or advanced precancerous lesions (APL) and should be followed by colonoscopy. The Cologuard Plus test is indicated to screen adults 45 years or older, who are at average risk for CRC. The Cologuard Plus test is not a replacement for diagnostic colonoscopy or surveillance colonoscopy in high-risk individuals.”²⁸

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

The FDA also lists contraindications for Cologuard Plus, noting that this test is not indicated for use in patients who have the following:

- “A personal history of colorectal cancer or advanced precancerous lesions.
- A positive result from another colorectal cancer screening method within the last 6 months, or:
 - 12 months for a fecal occult blood test (FOBT) or a fecal immunochemical test (FIT)
 - 36 months for a FIT-DNA test
- A family history of CRC, defined as having a first-degree relative (parent, sibling, or child) with a CRC diagnosis at any age.
- Personal history of any of the following high-risk conditions for colorectal cancer:
 - A diagnosis of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (Chronic Ulcerative Colitis, Crohn’s Disease).
 - A diagnosis of a relevant familial (hereditary) cancer syndrome or other polyposis syndrome, including but not limited to: Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP or Gardner’s), Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer syndrome (HNPCC or Lynch), Peutz-Jeghers, MYH-Associated Polyposis (MAP), Turcot’s (or Crail’s), Cowden’s, Juvenile Polyposis, Cronkhite-Canada, Neurofibromatosis, or Serrated Polyposis.”²⁸

Many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). LDTs are not approved or cleared by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

Applicable CPT/HCPSC Procedure Codes:

CPT	Code Description
81327	SEPT9 (Septin9) (eg, colorectal cancer) promoter methylation analysis
81528	Oncology (colorectal) screening, quantitative real-time target and signal amplification of 10 DNA markers (KRAS mutations, promoter methylation of NDRG4 and BMP3) and fecal hemoglobin, utilizing stool, algorithm reported as a positive or negative result
82270	Blood, occult, by peroxidase activity (eg, guaiac), qualitative; feces, consecutive collected specimens with single determination, for colorectal neoplasm screening (ie, patient was provided 3 cards or single triple card for consecutive collection)
82274	Blood, occult, by fecal hemoglobin determination by immunoassay, qualitative, feces, 1-3 simultaneous determinations
87624	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Human Papillomavirus (HPV), high-risk types (eg, 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 68)
87625	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Human Papillomavirus (HPV), types 16 and 18 only, includes type 45, if performed
88112	Cytopathology, selective cellular enhancement technique with interpretation (eg, liquid based slide preparation method), except cervical or vaginal

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

CPT	Code Description
0500T	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA), Human Papillomavirus (HPV) for five or more separately reported high-risk HPV types (eg, 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 68) (ie, genotyping)
0464U	Oncology (colorectal) screening, quantitative real-time target and signal amplification, methylated DNA markers, including LASS4, LRRC4 and PPP2R5C, a reference marker ZDHHC1, and a protein marker (fecal hemoglobin), utilizing stool, algorithm reported as a positive or negative result Proprietary Test: Cologuard Plus™ Lab/Manufacturer: Exact Sciences Laboratories, LLC, Exact Sciences Corporation

Current Procedural Terminology© American Medical Association. All Rights reserved.

Procedure codes appearing in Medical Policy documents are included only as a general reference tool for each policy. They may not be all-inclusive.

Evidence-based Scientific References:

- Kuipers EJ, Grady WM, Lieberman D, et al. Colorectal cancer. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. Nov 5 2015;1:15065. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.65
- Shaukat A, Kahi CJ, Burke CA, Rabeneck L, Sauer BG, Rex DK. ACG Clinical Guidelines: Colorectal Cancer Screening 2021. *Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG*. 2021;116(3):458-479. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000001122
- ACS. Key Statistics for Colorectal Cancer. <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/colon-rectal-cancer/about/key-statistics.html>
- Doubeni C. Screening for colorectal cancer. Updated Jan 23, 2025. 2025. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/tests-for-screening-for-colorectal-cancer?source=history>
- Robertson DJ, Lee JK, Boland CR, et al. Recommendations on Fecal Immunochemical Testing to Screen for Colorectal Neoplasia: A Consensus Statement by the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. *Gastroenterology*. Apr 2017;152(5):1217-1237.e3. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.08.053
- Exact Sciences. Cologuard. <https://www.cologuardhcp.com/about/clinical-offer>
- Imperiale TF, Ransohoff DF, Itzkowitz SH, et al. Multitarget stool DNA testing for colorectal-cancer screening. *N Engl J Med*. Apr 3 2014;370(14):1287-97. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1311194
- Grützmann R, Molnar B, Pilarsky C, et al. Sensitive Detection of Colorectal Cancer in Peripheral Blood by Septin 9 DNA Methylation Assay. *PLOS ONE*. 2008;3(11):e3759. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003759
- Health G. Shield™: Colorectal Cancer Screening Test. <https://shieldcancerscreen.com/wp-content/uploads/LBL-000351-R1-Shield-Provider-Labeling.pdf>
- Guardant Health. Shield™ blood-based colorectal cancer screening test. Guardant Health, Inc. https://guardanthealth.com/wp-content/uploads/Guardant_Shield_FactSheet-1.pdf
- Exact Sciences. BLUE-C: A PIVOTAL CLINICAL TRIAL. <https://www.exactsciences.com/-/media/project/exactcore/documents/blue-c-background.pdf>
- Exact Sciences. FDA Approves Exact Sciences' Cologuard Plus™ Test, Setting A New Benchmark in Non-Invasive Colorectal Cancer Screening. <https://www.exactsciences.com/newsroom/press-releases/fda-approves-exact-sciences-cologuard-plus-test>
- Imperiale TF, Porter K, Zella J, et al. Next-Generation Multitarget Stool DNA Test for Colorectal Cancer Screening. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 14 2024;390(11):984-993. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2310336

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

14. Burch JA, Soares-Weiser K, St John DJB, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of faecal occult blood tests used in screening for colorectal cancer: a systematic review. *Journal of Medical Screening*. 2007/09/01 2007;14(3):132-137. doi:10.1258/096914107782066220
15. Shapiro JA, Bobo JK, Church TR, et al. A Comparison of Fecal Immunochemical and High-Sensitivity Guaiac Tests for Colorectal Cancer Screening. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Nov 2017;112(11):1728-1735. doi:10.1038/ajg.2017.285
16. Kisiel JB, Gagrath ZD, Krockenberger M, et al. Can second-generation multitarget stool DNA panels reliably detect colorectal cancer and advanced precancerous lesions? *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2022/02/01 2022;40(4_suppl):63-63. doi:10.1200/JCO.2022.40.4_suppl.063
17. USPSTF. USPSTF Final Recommendation Statement. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/document/RecommendationStatementFinal/colorectal-cancer-screening>
18. Faivre J, Dancourt V, Lejeune C, et al. Reduction in colorectal cancer mortality by fecal occult blood screening in a French controlled study¹. *Gastroenterology*. 2004;126(7):1674-1680. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2004.02.018
19. Kim SY, Kim HS, Kim YT, et al. Colonoscopy Versus Fecal Immunochemical Test for Reducing Colorectal Cancer Risk: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol*. Apr 30 2021;12(5):e00350. doi:10.14309/ctg.0000000000000350
20. Gagrath ZD, Krockenberger M, Bhattacharya A, et al. Next-generation Multi-target Stool DNA Panel Accurately Detects Colorectal Cancer and Advanced Precancerous Lesions. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)*. Mar 4 2024;17(3):119-126. doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-23-0285
21. USPSTF. Colorectal Cancer: Screening. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/colorectal-cancer-screening>
22. USPSTF. About the USPSTF. USPSTF. 11/14, 2018. Accessed 11/14, 2018. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/about-uspstf>
23. ACS. American Cancer Society Guideline for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Updated January 29, 2024. 2025. <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/colon-rectal-cancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/acs-recommendations.html>
24. Patel SG, May FP, Anderson JC, et al. Updates on Age to Start and Stop Colorectal Cancer Screening: Recommendations From the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. *Gastroenterology*. Jan 2022;162(1):285-299. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2021.10.007
25. NCCN. Colorectal Cancer Screening Version 1. 2024. Updated February 27, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colorectal_screening.pdf
26. FDA. Epi ProColon. Accessed 11/14/2018, 2018. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma_template.cfm?id=p130001
27. FDA. SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS DATA (SSED). Food & Drug Administration. Accessed 11/14/2018, 2018. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf13/P130017B.pdf
28. FDA. SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS DATA. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf23/P230043B.pdf

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Revision History

Company(ies)	DATE	REVISION
EmblemHealth	4/2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updates with effective date 8/13/2025: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addition of Cologuard Plus™ to Coverage Criteria 2, now reads: “2) The use of methylated Septin 9 (ColoVantage) or FIT-DNA (Cologuard™, Cologuard Plus™) for colorectal cancer screening MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA.” New Coverage Criteria 4.c.: “c) Screening using cell-free DNA techniques (e.g., Guardant Shield™).” Addition of CPT code 0464U to “Applicable CPT/HCPCS Procedure Codes” table
EmblemHealth	4/2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transferred policy content to individual company-branded template. No changes to policy title or policy number.
EmblemHealth	7/2024	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lab Benefit Program (LBM) expanded to include EmblemHealth HMO/ PPO (Non-City) Commercial, Medicare and Medicaid plans effective 10/1/2024
EmblemHealth ConnectiCare	5/2024	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated for clarity; no changes to coding or coverage criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed Coverage Criteria 1.b. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “1.b) Direct visualization tests: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Colonoscopy every 10 years. ii) Computerized tomography (CT) every 5 years. iii) Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5 years. iv) Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years with FIT every year.”

Reimbursement Policy:

Colorectal Cancer Screening - Lab Benefit Program (LBM)

Company(ies)	DATE	REVISION
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Coverage Criteria 1 edited for clarity and consistency following the removal of Coverage Criteria 1.b., now reads “1) For asymptomatic individuals 45 to 75 years of age, annual screening for colorectal cancer with a fecal immunochemical test (FIT) (preferred) or a guaiac fecal occult blood test (gFOBT) MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA.” ○ Removed Coverage Criteria 4.c. “Colon capsule endoscopy.”
EmblemHealth ConnectiCare	7/2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reformatted and reorganized policy, transferred content to new template with new Reimbursement Policy Number • Policy replaces archived policy AHS-G2009 Preventative Screening in Adults